



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/682,258	10/08/2003	Patrick J. Whelan	9793-004	8251

20575 7590 07/21/2005

MARGER JOHNSON & MCCOLLOM, P.C.
210 SW MORRISON STREET, SUITE 400
PORTLAND, OR 97204

EXAMINER

WILSON, LEE D

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3723

DATE MAILED: 07/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

SP

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/682,258	WHELAN, PATRICK J.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	LEE D. WILSON	3723	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____. |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____. | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____. |

DETAILED ACTION

The previous office action has been vacated

1. The previous office action has been vacated please respond to the instant office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for

the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty designed in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

1. Claims 1-3, 6-7, 9-10, 13, 15-17, 20-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated

by Carver (1568442).

Carver discloses a pry tool having a working end and resting portion (top of fig.4 above 20 which is an elongated portion), a handle (28) with a projection (12), a receiver (22&23) which has multiple offset angles.

The extension is portion between the tool jaw and ratchet mechanism.

In regard to the method claim, this is merely the natural use of the claimed tool.

2. Claims 1-3, 5-7, 9-10, 12-13, 15-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

Kuo (5931063).

Kuo discloses a pry tool having a working end and resting portion (11 & 18 above 14 which is an elongated portion), a handle (20) with a projection (21), a receiver (16 & 15) which has multiple offset angles.

The extension is element (17).

In regard to the method claim, this is merely the natural use of the claimed tool.

3. Claims 1-3, 5-7, 9-10, 12-13, 15-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Suekage (5996448).

Suekage discloses a pry tool having a working end and resting portion (34 & 36 above 31 which is an elongated portion), a handle (2 & (20 which is an extension)) with a projection (24), a receiver (32) which has multiple offset angles.

In regard to the method claim, this is merely the natural use of the claimed tool.

4. Claims 1-3, 7-10, 14, 15-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Spier (5871204)

Spier discloses a pry tool having a working end and resting portion (54 & 52 above 74 which is an elongated portion), a handle (24) with a projection (32) and an extension (19), a receiver (78) which has multiple offset angles.

In regard to the method claim, this is merely the natural use of the claimed tool.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC 9 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Spirer

7.

(5871204)

a. Spirer discloses the claimed invention except for eight pointed star pattern in a ratchet. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have made a ratchet with a eight pointed star pattern, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known pad on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Response to Arguments

6. **Applicant's arguments filed 4/14/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.**

7. **Applicant has amended the claims to read over the prior.**

a. It does not appear that the applicant has define any different structure that is not already being rejected. The prior appears to be showing the same planes.

It is obvious that an attempt to amend the claims was made; however, the exact direction is being taken by the applicant is not clear only because the art shows the amendments made by the applicant. Applicant is welcome to contact the examiner through email Lee. Wilson @ uspto.gov and/or by phone. Email is better because of any proposed amendments.

Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **LEE D. WILSON** whose telephone number is 571-272-4499. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, JOSEPH HAIL can be reached on 571-272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ldw

June 22, 2005

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Lee D. Wilson', with a stylized, cursive script.

LEE D. WILSON
PRIMARY EXAMINER